arXiv:1603.00267v1 [math.GT] 1 Mar 2016

BAND-PASSES AND LONG VIRTUAL KNOT CONCORDANCE

MICAH CHRISMAN

ABSTRACT. Every classical ribbon knot is band-pass equivalent to the unknot. Here it is shown that $v_{2,1} + v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ is band-pass invariant but not a concordance invariant, where $v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}$ generate the degree two Polyak groups for long virtual knots. The invariant vanishes on classical knots as it is twice the Arf. It can detect some long virtual ribbon knots that are not band-pass equivalent to either the long unknot or long right trefoil.

Band-pass equivalence of knots is generated by isotopy and the moves depicted in Figure 1. Every classical knot is band-pass equivalent to either the unknot or the right trefoil. If two knots are concordant, then they are band-pass equivalent. Kauffman [10] observed that this fails to be true for virtual knots as there are ribbon virtual knots that are not band-pass equivalent to the unknot. The method of [10] applies only to odd virtual knots. Here we define a band-pass invariant for long virtual knots that can detect this phenomenon.



FIGURE 1. The two versions of the band-pass move.

Recall that knots K in $\mathbb{S}^3$ are classified up to band-passes by the Arf invariant. It may be defined as the coefficient $c_2(K)$ of z^2 in the Conway polynomial mod 2 [8]. With a choice of base point, $v_{2,1}(K) = c_2(K) = v_{2,2}(K)$ [3], where $v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}$ generate the Goussarov-Polyak-Viro finite-type invariants of degree two for long virtual knots [7]. Our use of long virtual knots is motivated by this observation. For long virtual knots, it will be shown that $v_{2,1} + v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ is band-pass invariant but is not invariant under concordance. Neither $v_{2,1} \pmod 2$ nor $v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ is band-pass or concordance invariant by themselves. Since $v_{2,1} + v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ is 0 for all classical knots, examples are found of long virtual knots that are ribbon but not band-pass equivalent to either the unknot or the right trefoil.

Long virtual knots are the natural domain for posing questions about virtual knot concordance. There is an operation, called concatenation, under which they form a group. This is analogous to the classical knot case. Moreover there is a surjective map from "long" concordance to "closed" concordance. Hence long concordance invariants contain all those of closed concordance as well. Section 1 establishes these elementary facts about the long virtual knot concordance group. Section 2 is devoted to the band-pass invariant.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25, 57M27.

Key words and phrases. long virtual knot concordance group, band-pass move.

1. The Long Virtual Knot Concordance Group

1.1. **Concordance.** It will be assumed the reader is familiar with (closed) virtual knots [9, 7]. Long virtual knots are immersions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, identical with the x-axis outside a compact set, where double points are marked as classical or virtual crossings. They are considered equivalent up to the Reidemeister moves and the detour move (i.e. the *extended Reidemeister moves*). All are oriented from $-\infty \to \infty$. A *long virtual link* is a virtual link in the usual sense except that exactly one component is a long virtual knot. Our combinatorial definition of concordance is adapted from [5, 10] (see also [2, 6, 12]).

Definition 1.1 (Concordance)**.** Two (long or closed) virtual knots K_1 and K_2 are said to be *concordant* if they may be obtained from one another by the extended Reidemeister moves and a finite sequence of births b , deaths d , and saddles s such that $\#b - \#s + \#d = 0$ (see Figure 2). In this case we write $K_1 \asymp K_2$.



Figure 2. Births, Deaths, and Saddles.

Long virtual knots form a monoid under the operation of *concatenation*: $K_1 \# K_2$ means draw K_2 to the right of K_1. The unit, denoted 1, is the long knot given by the x-axis. It is well known that concatenation is not commutative [1, 11]. We see immediately from the definition that if $L_1 \asymp R_1$ and $L_2 \asymp R_2$, then $L_1 \# L_2 \asymp R_1 \# R_2$.

The long virtual knot concordance group $\mathcal{VC}$ is defined by analogy to the classical knot case (see [8] for the classical definition). The elements are concordance classes, the identity is 1, the operation is $\#$, and inverses are defined as follows. Let $r(K)$ denote the reflection of the diagram K about a vertical line l intersecting K in one point to the right of a closed 2-ball that contains all points of K not on the x-axis. Let $-r(K)$ denote the change of orientation, so that $-r(K)$ is oriented from $-\infty$ to ∞. Then $K^{-1} := -r(K)$.



Figure 3. Two saddle moves used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. $(\mathcal{VC}, \#, 1)$ *is a group.*

Proof. We will show $K\# -r(K) \asymp 1$; the relation $-r(K)\#K \asymp 1$ follows similarly. Arrange the classical crossings x of K by detours so that the double points all lie on a vertical line c to the left of l. For each x, let $m(x)$ denote the corresponding crossing in $-r(K)$. For each x, draw a pair of parallel red arcs near the crossing point as in Figure 3, left.

It may be assumed that all intersections of the red arcs with $K\# -r(K)$ are transversal and that the arcs are symmetric with respect to l. Using detours and two saddle moves on the the red arcs, we have Figure 3 (right) locally for each x. All crossings coming from the red arcs with $K\# -r(K)$ are virtual. Let L be the resulting long virtual link.

Observation: The cobordism given in Figure 3 adds two components to the long virtual link diagram. To see this, begin constructing L with some chosen crossing x of K. Perform one of the two saddle moves on the red arcs. Then the number of components increases by 1. By symmetry, the endpoints of the other red arc for x and $m(x)$ must now lie in the same component. Surgering along the second red arc thus also increases the number of components by 1. Proceed with crossings $y \neq x$ of K.

Observation: For each x, we can use detour moves to place x near $m(x)$. Then the pair of crossings $x, m(x)$ can be removed with a Reidemeister II move. All classical crossings of L are annihilated in this way.

Thus we observe that L is equivalent to a long virtual link having no classical crossings. It has 1 as a component together with $2n$ disjoint circles, where n is the number of classical crossings of K. Killing each of these disjoint circles gives a concordance to 1, since $\#b - \#s + \#d = -2n + 2n = 0$. □

1.2. **The map from long to closed.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between long virtual knots and Gauss diagrams on the real line modulo diagrammatic versions of the Reidemeister moves. If D is a Gauss diagram on $\mathbb{R}$, it may be converted into a closed Gauss diagram $\overline{D}$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$ by labeling $\mathbb{S}^1$ with the arrow markings of D via the map $t \to e^{2i\arctan(t)}$. Then $D \to \overline{D}$ descends to an onto map $K \to \overline{K}$ from long virtual knots to virtual knots [7].

It is clear from our definition that if $K_1 \asymp K_2$, then $\overline{K_1} \asymp \overline{K_2}$. Any invariant of virtual knot concordance is thus an invariant of long virtual knot concordance. For example, the Henrich-Turaev polynomial $w_K(t)$ is an order one finite-type concordance invariant of virtual knots [4] that by virtue of the map $K \to \overline{K}$ is also a finite-type concordance invariant of long virtual knots. Clearly, $w_{K_1\#K_2}(t) = w_{K_1}(t) + w_{K_2}(t)$, giving a homomorphism.

Proposition 2. *If $K \asymp -r(K)$, then K has order 1 or 2 in $\mathcal{VC}$. If K has finite order in $\mathcal{VC}$, then $w_K(t) = 0$. There are elements of $\mathcal{VC}$ that are not concordant to a classical knot and have infinite order.*

Proof. The first fact follows from $K\#K \asymp K\# -r(K) \asymp 1$. If $K^m \asymp 1$, then $0 = w_{K^m}(t) = m \cdot w_K(t)$. Lastly consider the long virtual knot diagram K having Gauss code $O1(+)O2(+)U1(+)U2(+)$. Since $w_K(t) = 2t \neq 0$, K cannot be concordant to any classical knot and $w_{K^m}(t) = 2m \cdot t \neq 0$. □

2. A Band-Pass Invariant

Recall the definitions of $v_{2,1}$ and $v_{2,2}$. Let D_K be a Gauss diagram of a long virtual knot K. A subdiagram D' of D_K is a diagram whose arrows are a subset of the the arrows of D_K. If D' is a subdiagram of D_K we write $D' < D_K$. Define a formal sum of diagrams:

$$I(D_K) = \sum_{D' < D_K} D'.$$

A pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined on Gauss diagrams by $\langle X, Y \rangle = 1$ if $X = Y$ and $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ otherwise. The pairing is extended by linearity to the free abelian group generated by Gauss diagrams. Then $v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}$ are given by:

$$v_{2,1}(K) = \left\langle \quad , I(D_K) \right\rangle, \quad v_{2,2}(K) = \left\langle \quad , I(D_K) \right\rangle.$$

Note here the convention of [7] is used, so that if no crossing signs appear on the arrows of a diagram, then the diagram represents the weighted sum of all possible ways the diagram may be signed and the weight of each summand is the product of the signs of all its arrows.



FIGURE 4. (Left) The "fly", F, is ribbon. (Right) The long virtual knot shows $v_{2,1} \pmod 2$ and $v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ are not band-pass invariant.

Let F be the "fly" [1] depicted in Figure 4 . Performing the indicated saddle move (in red) shows that $F \asymp 1$. Note however that $v_{2,2}(F) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Hence $v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ is not a concordance invariant. Now perform the band-pass move on the long virtual knot L on the right in Figure 4 to obtain a diagram R. Then $v_{2,2}(L) \pmod 2 \not\equiv v_{2,2}(R) \pmod 2$. Similarly, $v_{2,1} \pmod 2$ is neither a band-pass nor concordance invariant.

Theorem 3. *If K_1 and K_2 are obtained from one another by a sequence of extended Reidemeister moves and band pass moves, then:*

$$v_{2,1}(K_1) + v_{2,2}(K_1) \equiv v_{2,1}(K_2) + v_{2,2}(K_2) \pmod 2. \tag{1}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem when K_1 and K_2 differ by a single band pass move. Let D_1, D_2 represent Gauss diagrams for the long virtual knots on the left, right, respectively, of a band-pass in Figure 1. D_1 and D_2 differ in a set $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ containing exactly four arrows. Here we use the same name for the arrow in D_1 and the arrow in D_2 obtained by toggling the direction and sign of that arrow.

Suppose $D_1' < D_1$ is any pair of intersecting arrows. D_1' can contain none, one, or two arrows from Δ. If none, then D_1' contributes equally to both sides of Equation 1. Contributions for the other cases depend upon how the arrows of Δ are configured in the

Gauss diagram. The two band-passes in Figure 1 have five essentially different ways in which the depicted arc ends may be connected to form a single component, up to change of orientation. For each configuration, the point at infinity for K_1 may be chosen on any of the four dashed connecting arcs. Figure 5 shows one choice; other choices follow similarly.

Consider all subdiagrams of D_1 and D_2 containing exactly two arrows from Δ. Consulting Figure 5, one can verify that the total contribution of such subdiagrams to Equation 1 is the same on both sides. For example, in the top configuration the pairs α, γ and γ, δ each contribute 1 to each side of Equation 1 to give a total contribution of 0 on each side.

Lastly suppose that $D_1' < D_1$ contains one arrow x from Δ and one arrow $\eta \notin \Delta$ that intersects x. To create the corresponding subdiagram D_2' in D_2, simply change the direction and sign of x. Note that the endpoints of η lie in separate dashed regions of the real line in Figure 5. For each $x \in \Delta$, observe in Figure 5 that there is some $y \in \Delta$, $y \neq x$ such that η intersects y. Now, either x and y point in the same direction or in opposite directions in D_1. If the same, the pairs x, η and y, η each contribute 1 to one side of Equation 1 and both contribute zero to the other side. If opposite, then one of the pairs x, η and y, η contributes 1 on the left of Equation 1 while the other pair contributes 1 on the right. $\square$

Definition 2.1 (Slice and Ribbon)**.** If $K \asymp 1$, then K is said to be *slice*. If $K \asymp 1$ in such a way that no births b are required, then K is said to be *ribbon*.

Example: Again let F be as in Figure 4, left. Then $v_{2,1}(F) + v_{2,2}(F) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. As previously discussed, F is ribbon. $v_{2,1} + v_{2,2} \pmod 2$ vanishes for any classical knot. Thus F is concordant to the unknot but not band-pass equivalent to the unknot or the long classical right handed trefoil. Moreover, F is not concordant to the long right trefoil T. Indeed $\overline{F}$ is trivial whereas $\overline{T}$ is a right handed trefoil. By [5], $\overline{T}$ has virtual slice genus 1 and hence cannot be concordant to the unknot. By the remarks of Section 1.2, it follows that $T \not\asymp F$.

2.1. **Questions for Future Research.** For the closed case, are there any GPV finite-type invariants that are band-pass invariant? The answer is likely to be "yes", thus answering the original question from [10]. A computer search could resolve it: compute the Polyak algebra modulo the image under the map I of the band-pass moves. For the closed or long case, are there any GPV finite-type invariants that are concordance invariants? More generally, can the Vassiliev finite-type concordance invariants be classified?

Are there any non-slice non-classical knots of finite order in $\mathcal{VC}$? Is $\mathcal{VC}$ abelian (essentially posed by Turaev [12])? What is the "kernel" of the map $K \to \overline{K}$ under concordance?

2.2. **Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful for advice and encouragement from H. A. Dye, C. D. Frohman, A. Kaestner, L. H. Kauffman, and R. Todd.

References

[1] A. Bartholomew, R. Fenn, N. Kamada, and S. Kamada. New invariants of long virtual knots. *Kobe J. Math.*, 27(1-2):21–33, 2010.

[2] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada, and M. Saito. Stable equivalence of knots on surfaces and virtual knot cobordisms. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 11(3):311–322, 2002. Knots 2000 Korea, Vol. 1 (Yongpyong).



FIGURE 5. The five possible configurations of arcs, and the corresponding Gauss diagrams for the left and right hand sides of the band-pass move.

[3] S. Chmutov, M. C. Khoury, and A. Rossi. Polyak-viro formulas for coefficients of the Conway polynomial. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 18(6):773–783, 2009.

[4] M. Chrisman and A. Kaestner. Virtual covers of links II. *arXiv e-prints*.

[5] H. A. Dye, A. Kaestner, and L. H. Kauffman. Khovanov Homology, Lee Homology and a Rasmussen Invariant for Virtual Knots. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014.

[6] R. Fenn, C. Rourke, and B. Sanderson. The rack space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(2):701–740 (electronic), 2007.

[7] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, and O. Viro. Finite-type invariants of classical and virtual knots. *Topology*, 39(5):1045–1068, 2000.

[8] L. H. Kauffman. *On knots*, volume 115 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.

[9] L. H. Kauffman. Virtual knot theory. *European J. Combin.*, 20(7):663–690, 1999.

[10] L. H. Kauffman. Virtual knot cobordism. In *New ideas in low dimensional topology*, volume 56 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 335–377. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.

[11] V. O. Manturov. Compact and long virtual knots. *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs.*, 69:5–33, 2008.

[12] V. Turaev. Cobordism of knots on surfaces. *J. Topol.*, 1(2):285–305, 2008.